SUPERIOR COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. CROIX

IN RE: RED DUST CLAIMS.) MASTER CASE NO.) SX-15-CV-620
Eleanor Abraham, et al.)
Plaintiffs,)
v.) SX-11 CV-550
St. Croix Renaissance Group, LLLP)
Defendant.)) _)

DEFENDANT ST. CROIX RENAISSANCE GROUP'S MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

COMES now Defendant St. Croix Renaissance Group ("SCRG") through undersigned counsel and moves the Court for consolidation. Plaintiffs' counsel has agreed that the following can be stated to the Court with regard to the Plaintiffs not opposing the motion: "At this time, Plaintiffs see no reason to object to consolidation."

Thus, SCRG moves, pursuant to Rule 42 V.I. R. Civ. P,¹ for the consolidation of Eleanor Abraham v. St. Croix Renaissance Group, LLLP, SX 11-CV-550 into the pre-

¹ Rule 42. Consolidation; Separate Trials

⁽a) Consolidation. If actions before the court involve a common question of law or fact, the court may:

⁽¹⁾ join for hearing or trial any or all matters at issue in the actions;

⁽²⁾ consolidate the actions; or

⁽³⁾ issue any other orders to avoid unnecessary cost or delay.

trial Master Case, SX-15-CV-620 captioned *In RE Red Dust* (the "620 Master Case").²
The commentary to the new rules stresses that the intent is "providing broad discretion for the court to act where multiple actions involve "a common question of law or fact."

As is noted below, nine (9) Plaintiffs in the 620 Master Case are not in the Abednego or Phillip Abraham actions -- they were only in the Eleanor Abraham action. Thus, the only reason they are already in the 620 Master Case is because Plaintiffs, like everyone else has been both thinking and acting as though the consolidation already has taken place. Moreover, as will also be discussed, the complaints in the Abednego, Phillip Abraham and Eleanor Abraham cases not only have many of the same plaintiffs -- but also allege many of the same injuries in the identical time periods.

I. Historical Lead Up to a Separate "Eleanor Abraham" Case

The Court and parties are all familiar with the original *Josephat Henry* case. Because of rulings in that case, on December 3, 2009 the *Abednego* case (SX-09-CV-571) was filed by approximately 2529 plaintiffs -- including 2226 adults and 303 minors. That complaint alleged not only past damages but continuing injuries that have extended over the full period of the *Eleanor Abraham* case (2002-preset.) For all of the time periods applicable to the *Eleanor Abraham* case, the damages of both the *Abednego* and *Eleanor Abraham* plaintiffs are thus identical. See Second Amended

² In the alternative, because SCRG is mindful that this Court has discussed the difference between consolidation for trial and consolidation for pre-trial handling pursuant to V.I. R. Civ. P. 16(c)(2)(L) in *Edwards v. Hess Oil Virgin Islands Corp.*, SX-15-CV-382, 2017 WL 2814041, at *4 (V.I. Super. June 29, 2017), it notes that it only wishes to achieve the same level of consolidation that has been afforded to the *Abednego* and *Phillip Abraham* plaintiffs -- under either Rule.

Complaint, Sept 1, 2010, at page 178 (seek a prospective "injunction requiring that defendants cease and desist all activities that result in pollutants being discharged and, further requiring a cleanup of all pollutants and removal of the piles of "Red Dust", coal dust and particulates, costs and fees and such other relief as this Court deems fair and just.")

Because of procedural developments in *Abednego*, approximately 201 plaintiffs filed the *Phillip Abraham v. SCA et al.* action on April 4, 2011. That complaint alleged not only past damages but continuing injuries that have extended over the full period of the *Eleanor Abraham* case (2002-preset.) For all of the time periods applicable to the *Eleanor Abraham* case, the damages of both the *Phillip Abraham* and *Eleanor Abraham* plaintiffs appear to be substantially identical.

Because SCRG was "let out" of the underlying cases by the federal court while on removal, the *Eleanor Abraham* case was filed by approximately 509 plaintiffs on December 13, 2011. That complaint alleges not only events that occurred after the purchase of the property by SCRG in 2002 -- but also, at ¶¶ 467-470 recites the historical insults to the property and neighbors and goes on, at ¶¶ 473-478 seeks to hold SCRG liable for not mitigating, correcting and remediating the identical past activities that will be litigated in *Phillip Abraham* and *Abednego* cases. Approximately 309 of the 550 *Eleanor Abraham* plaintiffs or about 60% are also in *Abednego*.

Thus, the parties have acted as if these matters are consolidated -- which they probably would have been if the *Eleanor Abraham* file was not in transit because of the Third Circuit appeal.

II. The Numerical and Fact Overlap Between the Plaintiffs in the Four Cases

As noted above, there are approximately 9 Plaintiffs who are in <u>just</u> the *Eleanor*

Abraham case and the new 620 Master Case. But more to the point, approximately 187

of the 509 plaintiffs are only in that one case. That means the that 322 of those plaintiffs

are *already* in one or more of the other cases.

Conclusion

Many of the plaintiffs are the same in these cases. The injuries come from what

plaintiffs seek to describe as one really long single event. The time periods for the

damages are identical for the years 2002 to the present. The types of damages to

properties are identical. The types of alleged medical injuries are not only identical but

possibly indistinguishable. In other words not only is consolidation advisable -- it is

probable that these are necessary parties. Thus, in the absence of any opposition by

Plaintiffs, the motion should be granted.

Dated: August 30, 2017

Joel H. Holt, Esq. (Bar # 6)

Counsel for Defendant SCRG Law Offices of Joel H. Holt 2132 Company Street.

Christiansted, VI 00820 Email: holtvi@aol.com

Tele: (340) 773-8709

Fax: (340) 773-8677

Carl J. Hartmann III, Esq. (#48)

Co-Counsel for Defendant SCRG 5000 Estate Coakley Bay, L-6

Christiansted, VI 00820

Email: carl@carlhartmann.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document complies with the page or word limitation set forth in Rule 6-1(e) and that on this 30th day of August, 2017, I served a copy of the foregoing by mail and email, as agreed by the parties, on:

James L. Hymes, III, Esq.

P.O. Box 990 St. Thomas, VI 00804-0990

Tel: (340) 776-3470 Fax: (340) 775-3300

Email: jim@hymeslawvi.com

Lee J. Rohn, Esq.,

Lee J. Rohn & Associates 1101 King Street St. Croix, VI 00820 Tel: 340-778-8855

Fax: 340-773-2954

Email: lee@rohnlaw.com

Bernard C Pattie, Esq.

Law Offices of Barnard C.Pattie P.C. 1138 Kings Street Suite 204 St Croix. VI 00820 Tel. 340-692-7717

Fax: 340-692-7719

Email: b.pattie@bcppc.com

Andrew C. Simpson, Esq.,

Bryant, Barnes & Simpson, P.C. 47 King St. 2nd Fl. PO Box 4589 Christiansted, St. Croix, VI 00822-4589 Tel. 340-773-2785 Fax 773-5427 E-mail vilegal@viaccess.net

Willie C. Ellis, Jr., Esq.

Hawkins Parnell Thackston & Young LLP 303 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 4000 Atlanta, GA 30308

Richard H. Hunter, Esq.,

Hunter, Colianni, Cole & Bennett Pentheny Bld., 3rd. Flr. 1138 King Street, Suite 301, Christiansted, St. Croix, VI 00820 340-773-3535 Fax 778-8241 E-mail hcctlaw@aol.com

Rene Pierre Tatro Juliet A. Markowitz, Esq.

Tatro Tekosky Sadwick LLP 660 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1450 Los Angeles, CA 90017 213-225-7171

Fax: 213-225-7151

Email: renetatro@ttsmlaw.com

David J. Cattie

Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart Llc The Tunick Building Suite 201 1336 Beltien Road St. Thomas, VI 00802 340-714-1235

Fax: 340-714-1245

Email:

david.cattie@ogletreedeakins.com